## ORA DATA REQUEST ORA-SCG-051-NS4 SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008

SOCALGAS RESPONSE DATE RECEIVED: DECEMBER 15, 2017

DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 3, 2018

**Exhibit Reference:** SCG-15

**SCG Witness:** Rick Phillips

**Subject:** PSEP

## **Question 01**

On page RDP-A-34, SCG requests \$6,170,000 as an "allowance for pipeline failures."

- a. Have allowances for pipeline failures previously been included in requested or approved PSEP costs in the form of a contingency or similar mechanism? If so, please describe.
- b. If the answer to part (a) above is anything other than 'no,' have duplicated costs for pipeline failures been removed from SCG's request in this GRC? Please describe.
- c. How were cost associated with the one previous pipeline failure (page RDP-A-34, last paragraph) paid for? Please describe the cost category and whether the costs were shareholder- or ratepayer-funded.

### Response 01

- a. No.
- b. Not applicable.
- c. The capital cost associated with the one previous pipeline failure will be included in the costs of the project when SoCalGas and SDG&E present the project costs for review in a future Reasonableness Review application.

#### ORA DATA REQUEST ORA-SCG-051-NS4 CALGAS 2019 GRC = A 17-10

## SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008 SOCALGAS RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: DECEMBER 15, 2017 DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 3, 2018

#### **Question 02**

On page RDP-A-38, SCG discusses "reports and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)" that are reviewed by SCG management on a monthly basis.

- a) Please provide a list of all Key Performance Indicators used or measure by SCG.
- b) Please provide the last 12 monthly PSEP reports provided to SCG management. If any are not available, please explain why.
- c) Please provide the last 12 months of PSEP KPIs provided to SCG management. If any are not available, please explain why.

#### Response 02

The attached documents include Confidential and Protected Materials Pursuant to PUC Section 583, GO 66-C/D, and D.16-08-024. Note that the attached files have also been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

- a. See page two of the attachments provided in response to Question 2c below.
- b. SoCalGas objects to this question on the grounds that "monthly PSEP reports provided to SCG management" is vague and ambiguous. SoCalGas further objects under Rule 10.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure that, depending on the meaning of the phrase "monthly reports provided to SCG management," the burden or intrusiveness of this request may outweigh the likelihood of it leading to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, SoCalGas responds as follows:

In the past, SoCalGas has maintained many different PSEP-related reports at the project level, portfolio level, and PSEP-wide. Below is a non-exhaustive list of reports that have been generated in the past to manage PSEP projects at the three levels (project, portfolio and PSEP-wide) on a regular basis, along with a general description of the purposes and/or functions of each. These reports are not necessarily produced at monthly intervals, and some of these reports may not be generated in the future.

Report Level	Report Title	<u>Function</u>
A. PSEP-Wide	1. Metrics, KPIs & Updates	Overall metric analysis conducted
		monthly
	2. Executive Steering	Report to SoCalGas and SDG&E
	Committee Presentation	Executives
	3. Procedures	Materials, Engineering, permitting and
		Work Process map procedures defining
		how to perform these functions

## ORA DATA REQUEST ORA-SCG-051-NS4

## SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008 SOCALGAS RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: DECEMBER 15, 2017 DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 3, 2018

4. Bulletins Bulletins provide updates to exist procedures  5. Commodity Lead Times Matrix developed for scheduling	ing
	_
5. Commodity Lead Times   Matrix developed for scheduling	1
	and
forecasting material lead times	
6. Permit Lead Times Matrix developed for scheduling	and
forecasting permit lead times	
7. Work Order Authorization Used monthly to monitor cost and	
(WOA) Funding report forecast for each project in PSEP	
8. 30-Day Lookahead Provide weekly status of the proj	
starting construction in next 30 d	
9. Quality Team Summary Provides monthly updates on qua	lity
Report control and quality assurance	
10. Monthly P6 Master Schedule   Prepared monthly as a roll up of o	each
individual project schedule	
11. Inspector Count Summary Provides inspector resources for e	each
Report project	
12. PSEP Monthly Progress Provide monthly update of key an	eas and
Report metrics	
13. Cost Report Details cost of each project and P	
wide cost by company and portfo	lio
14. Customer Impact Report Details customer outage and bypa	ass
needs for each project	
15. Support Organizations Shows the detail of support comp	any
Charging PSEP Report labor by organization and Director	r
B. Portfolio 1. Project Status Report Report reviewed bi-weekly by PS	SEP
Level Leadership detailing project sche	dules
costs and current status	
2. PM Bi-Weekly Planned Vs Report used at bi-weekly PM me	eting to
Forecast review schedule, cost and current	status
3. PM Bi-Weekly Minutes Minutes from bi-weekly PM mee	ting
used to report on action items, pro	_
progress and overall PSEP perfor	
C. Project Level 1. Project Schedules Monthly updates to each individu	ıal
project schedules created and upo	lated
monthly by each project PM	
2. Project Cashflow Report Report created to update cost each	h month
by project PM	
3. Permit Execution Plan Track and update permit status for	r each
project	
4. Bill of Materials (BOM) Used to order and specify materials	als
needed for each project	

## ORA DATA REQUEST ORA-SCG-051-NS4 SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008 SOCALGAS RESPONSE

DATE RECEIVED: DECEMBER 15, 2017 DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 3, 2018

5. SAP Cost Reports	Various cost summary and detail reports
	run as needed by Project Manager

PSEP Executive Steering Committee (ESC) and Metrics, KPIs & Updates (KPI) Powerpoint slides prepared in the last twelve months are provided. Additional reports from the table above will be provided upon written request identifying which reports are sought and the approximate dates and projects for which they are sought.

c. See KPI reports provided in response to Question 2b.

# ORA DATA REQUEST ORA-SCG-051-NS4 SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008 SOCALGAS RESPONSE DATE RECEIVED: DECEMBER 15, 2017

DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 3, 2018

## **Question 03**

On page RDP-A-12, SCG states "SCG and SDG&E have removed approximately 38 miles from the scope of PSEP, avoiding approximately \$250 million in replacement costs." Please provide the workpapers, calculation, or replacement used to calculate this cost avoidance.

#### Response 03

The approximately \$250 million in cost avoidance was calculated based on a \$6.5 million/mile (loaded and escalated) forecasted replacement cost for the replacement projects included in A.17-03-021 (Forecast Application) multiplied by 38 miles<sup>1</sup> (\$6.5 million x 38 = ~\$250 million). See attached cost avoidance calculation.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The 38 miles are noted in the Forecast Application A.17-03-021 (Mejia Chapter 1, page 7, line 5).

## ORA DATA REQUEST ORA-SCG-051-NS4 SOCALGAS 2019 GRC – A.17-10-008 SOCALGAS RESPONSE DATE RECEIVED: DECEMBER 15, 2017

DATE RESPONDED: JANUARY 3, 2018

## **Question** 04

Please provide the GIS files and data used to form the figures in SCG-WP-15 (Supplemental Workpapers of Rick Phillips) for PSEP projects 235 West Section 1, 235 West Section 2, and 235 West Section 3. The map and any underlying metadata should be readable in ArcGIS 10.3, Google Earth Pro, or both.

#### Response 04

The attached documents include Confidential and Protected Materials Pursuant to PUC Section 583, GO 66-C/D, and D.16-08-024. See attached KMZ and supporting files.