In The Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) and Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for the Pipeline Safety & Reliability Project

Application 15-09-013

Application No: <u>A.15-09-013</u> Exhibit No.: Witness: <u>Claire F. Olegario</u>

CHAPTER III

PREPARED PHASE 2 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CLAIRE F. OLEGARIO

ON BEHALF OF

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

AND

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

August 12, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW	1
II.	REGULATORY ACCOUNT MECHANISM	1
III.	QUALIFICATIONS	3

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

I.

PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW

The purpose of my prepared Phase 2 direct testimony on behalf of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) (collectively, the Utilities) is to present the proposed regulatory accounting treatment for costs associated with implementing the September 26, 2018 Line 1600 Test or Replacement Plan approved by the Safety and Enforcement Division (SED) on January 15, 2019 (Line 1600 Plan).

II. **REGULATORY ACCOUNT MECHANISM**

SDG&E proposes to continue recording the operating and maintenance costs (O&M), capital-related costs,¹ and associated revenues for Line 1600 costs, in the existing Phase 1 10 Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (PSEP) balancing accounts, namely, the Safety Enhancement Expense Balancing Account (SEEBA) and the Safety Enhancement Capital Cost Balancing 12 Account (SECCBA). Decision (D).14-06-007 adopted SDG&E's and SoCalGas' Phase 1 PSEP 13 and authorized the utilities to record costs in the above mentioned balancing accounts subject to refund, pending a subsequent reasonableness review.² Subsequently, as D.20-02-024 noted,³ 14 15 D.16-08-003 authorized the Utilities to recover in rates, subject to refund, 50% of the revenue requirements associated with actual PSEP costs.⁴

Continuing the established Commission two-way balancing account mechanism adopted in D.14-06-007 is reasonable. As established in D.20-02-024, the reasonableness of actual Line 1600 costs will be determined in a future Reasonableness Review, alleviating any concern that SDG&E and SoCalGas will recover more than their actual costs. Further, continuation of twoway-balancing prevents the development of overly conservative estimates that take into account

¹ Capital related costs (i.e., depreciation, taxes, and return).

² D.14-06-007 Ordering Paragraph 2 and 4-5.

³ D.20-02-024 at 13-15.

⁴ D.16-08-003 Ordering Paragraph (OP) 2.

1	every potential cost driver. For example, as described in the testimony of Ronn Gonzalez, given
2	the significant uncertainty of whether a hydrotest failure will occur during the execution of the
3	five Line 1600 hydrotest projects included in the Line 1600 Test or Replacement Plan, the
4	estimates do not include these possible costs to avoid the risk of overinflating the estimates.
5	The Commission has recognized the appropriateness of two-way balancing accounts in
6	the past. In D.13-015-010, the Commission granted SDG&E's request to establish a two-way
7	balancing account for Transmission Integrity Management Program (TIMP) and Distribution
8	Integrity Management Program (DIMP) costs, stating:
9 10 11	"A two-way balancing account to cover the costs of complying with TIMP will ensure that SDG&E has sufficient funds to carry out all the necessary TIMP related work to ensure that its gas transmission remains safe and reliable." ⁵
12 13 14	"Parties will have the opportunity to review the reasonableness of these TIMP-related expenses in this balancing account when those expenses are reported in the Annual Regulatory Account Balance Update, or in the Tier 3 AL filing." ⁶
15	Consistent with D.20-02-024's guidance to manage the Line 1600 PSEP work according
16	to already existing CPUC institutionalized processes, ⁷ SDG&E intends to continue the described
17	tracking and interim cost recovery mechanism until the costs are reviewed for reasonableness in
18	a future General Rate Case (GRC). ⁸ Interim cost recovery will be addressed in the Utilities'
19	Annual Regulatory Account Update filing,9 to be amortized in rates effective January 1 of the
20	following year. SDG&E proposes to address the final disposition of Line 1600 costs in a future
21	GRC.
	⁵ D.13-05-010 at 1053 (Finding of Fact 184). ⁶ D.13-05-015 at 1053 (Finding of Fact 185).

⁶ D.13-05-015 at 1053 (Finding of Fact 185).
⁷ D.20-02-024 at 13-15.
⁸ D.20-02-024 at 2 ("This decision does not grant cost recovery in this phase; reasonableness review of the cost forecast established in this phase will occur in later General Rate Case proceedings.")
⁹ D.16-08-003 OP 3b.

1

III. QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Claire F. Olegario. I am employed by SDG&E as the Regulatory Accounts Supervisor in the Controller's Division. My business address is 8330 Century Park Court, San Diego, California 92123. My current responsibilities include managing the process for the development, implementation and analysis for regulatory balancing and memorandum accounts. I assumed my current position in August 2019.

I received a Bachelor of Science in Accounting in 2007 from San Diego State University. I am a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") licensed in the State of California since 2009.

9 I have been employed with SDG&E since 2016. Before assuming my current position in
10 Regulatory Accounts, I served as a Principal Accountant for Utility Accounting at SoCalGas.
11 Prior to joining SDG&E, I worked for a public accounting firm from 2007 to 2016, and held
12 various positions with increasing responsibility including an Audit - Supervisor.

13

I have previously submitted prepared testimony before this Commission.